Record, 116 1891. Intf. Page, 194

Interference.

"K"

No. 14,830

T. F. Macdonald

vs

H. R. Conyngton and Thos. Conyngton

—vs—

J. F. Barber. Sr. No. 359,985 " " 354,023

Coin Operated Phonograph.

Raymond R. Wile Research Library

Nov. 21-'91.

1891.

ATTORNEYS.

Conyngton & Conyngton
Ga. E. L. Bronne
City

John E. Barber,
Ga. A. J. O'Brien,
Lewis, Col
W. E. Aughinbaugh
City. (asso.)

T. H. Macdonald,
Ga. E. H. Smith Jr.
Bridgeport, Conn.

(2–070.)

INDEX.

INTERFERENCE.

No. 14,850.

Conyngton and Conyngton

v.

Barber.

SUBJECT-MATTER:

Coin Operated Phonograph.

12710-3 m

Raymond R. Wile Research Library

Nov. 21-'90. Declaration. Preliminary hearing Jan. 6-91.
Jan 5th " 1891. Statements of Barber,
" " " " " Letter to "
" " " " Statements of Conyngton & Conyngton.
" " " " Letter to " " "
March 3rd " Request of Primary Examiner for Suspension under Rule "129".
" 5 " Interference suspended.
" 26 " Re-declaration. Preliminary hearing May 16/91
June 5th " Concession by Macdonald.
" 12 " Judgment against Macdonald
Feb. 1-92. Letter on concession. Appeal waived.
" " " Times ask for Taking Testimony. Hearing May 16-92
July 1-92 Decided in favor Barber. Limit of appeal July 21-92

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

Reiss. of K

(2—080.)

Room No.....
All communications should be addressed to "The Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D. C."

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,

U.S. PATENT OFFICE MAR 26 1891 OFFICE OF THE EXAMINERS

United States Patent Office,

Washington, D. C., Mar. 14, 1891

EXAMINER OF INTERFERENCES.

An interference is found to exist between the following cases, and in respect to the invention therein specified, to wit:

CASES.

1. J. H. Macdonald, of Bridgeport, Conn. for Coin Controlled Phonograph filed Feb. 19, 1819; Ser. No. 382,091 Division Application for Pat. No. 451,5[illegible] and substituted

whose attorney is F. W. Smith, Jr., of Bridgeport, Conn.

2. J. F. Barber, of Denver, Col., for Coin Controlled Mechanism, filed July 26, 1890; Ser. No. 359,985.

whose attorney is A. J. O'Brien, of Denver, Col. assoc. W. E. Anglinbaugh Washington, D.C.

3. H. R. & J. Congnlon, of Galveston, Tex., for Coin Operated Phonograph, filed July 12, 1890; Ser. No. 358,590,

whose attorney is F. L. Browne, of Washington, D.C.

INVENTION.

4. J. F. Barber, of Denver, Col. for Coin Controlled Mechanism, filed June 2, 1890, S. N. 354,023, whose attorney is A. J. O'Brien of Denver, Col. assoc W. E. Anglinbaugh, of Washington, D.C.

17616 b—3 m

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

The issue remains the same as before and covers substantially claim 9 of Macdonald's application; claim 11 of the Conyngton's application; claims 3, 8, 9, and 10 of Barber's earlier application; and claims 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 of his ~~later~~ application.

W. L. Anglin Examiner

Simpson
1st Asst Exr.

Intf. No. 14,631 Paper No. 8.
Macdonald
v.
Conyngton
Conyngton
v.
Barber

Declaration of Interference of June 26 19 21
Time for filing statement set for May 15 19 21

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

Int. No. 14,850 Paper No. 1

Conyngton & Conyngton

v.

Barber.

Letter of consolidation

with #14,851.

Feb. 1 - '92.

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

G. L. M.

2—067.

400

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,

United States Patent Office,

Washington, D. C., Feb. 1st, 189 2.

IN RE INTERFERENCE

Conyngton & Conyngton

v.

Barber.

Coin Operated Phonograph.

No. 14,850. K.

Before the Examiner of Interferences.

Conyngton & Conyngton, C/o F.L.Browne, City.

John F. Barber, C/o W.E.Aughinbaugh, City.

It appearing that there are two interfernces namely, # 14,850, K, # 14,851,L, proceeding between the same parties heretp and in which are involved the same applications of said parties respectively, it is hereby ordered that said interferences be and the same are hereby consolidated , and will be known hereafter as Interference # 14,850, K.

The issue in original Interference # 14,850 will be known as count 1, and that in Interference # 14,851, as count 2 of the consolidated interference.

Examiner of Interferences.

1084 b—5 m

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

Int. No. 14,850 Paper 13

Conyngton & Conyngton

v.

Barber.

Decision of priority
in favor of Barber.
July 1 - '92.

Recorded, Vol. 40. p. 495

Raymond R. Wile
Research Library

Room No. 44.

All communications should be addressed to "The Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D. C."

2-069.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,

United States Patent Office,

Washington, D. C., July 1, 1892

IN RE INTERFERENCE

Conyngton and Conyngton

v.

Barber

Interference No. 14,850

Before the Examiner of Interferences.

Case K

Subject-matter: Coin Operated Phonograph

The day heretofore set for final hearing having passed, and Conyngton and Conyngton, the junior party having failed to file any testimony within the time allowed for that purpose, judgment of priority of invention is hereby rendered in favor of John F. Barber, the senior party herein in accordance with the provisions of Rule s 116 and 117

Limit of appeal will expire July 21, 1892

Walter Johnson

Examiner of Interferences.

14681 b—3 m

Raymond R. Wile Research Library